

1 September 2015.

Computations in K-theory.

1. What is K-theory?

Grothendieck: A an associative ring.

$$K_0(A) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Free abelian group on f.g.} \\ \text{projective } A\text{-modules} \end{array} \right\} / \left(\begin{array}{l} [Q] = [P] + [R] \text{ when } 0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{is an exact seq. of f.g. projectives} \end{array} \right).$$

Developed for Poincaré-Rok theorems.

$$G_0(A) = K_0(A) \text{ same thing but with f.p. right } A\text{-modules.}$$

Davidson: R regular noetherian commutative $K_0(R) \cong G_0(R)$.

$K_0(A)$ is the group completion of the monoid of iso. classes of f.g. right A -modules under direct sum.

Similar definitions for schemes. Let $Y \subset X$ be a closed inclusion of regular noetherian schemes, $U = X \setminus Y$. Then,

$$K_0(Y) - K_0(X) = K_0(U)$$

is exact. So, this starts to look like some kind of cohomology theory for schemes, or rings. Higher algebraic K-theory works to extend this to LES.

Quillen/Segal: $+$ -construction and group completion.

$$\Sigma K(A) = \left(\coprod_P \text{BGL}(P) \right)^{gp\text{-completion}}$$

topological monoid under direct sum.

Also, a much more difficult $+$ -construction

$$\text{BGL}_\infty(A) \longrightarrow \text{BGL}_\infty(A)^+$$

which is a homology isomorphism. This gives some important computational control.

Blinberg-Grothendieck-Tate. K-theory satisfies a recently-discovered universal property. It is the universal ~~localizing invariant~~ localizing invariant of small stable ~~categories~~ categories such that $K(\mathcal{C}) \cong K(\mathcal{D})$ for \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} Morita equivalent. The localizing aspect is crucial for calculations.

So far, however, the universal nature has not been harnessed for computation.

2. K-theory of finite fields and ~~localizing~~ rings of integers.

$$K_0(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

$$K_{2i}(\mathbb{F}_q) = 0,$$

$$K_{2i-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(q^i-1) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} i \geq 1.$$

Quillen proves this using a description of $K(\mathbb{F}_q)$ as the fiber of



$$\text{or } \text{id} - \sigma^q \longrightarrow \text{id} - \sigma,$$

which relates algebraic K-theory directly to complex K-theory.

$$\text{Cor. } K(\mathbb{F}_q)_\mathbb{C} \cong \text{HA}.$$

Quillen. $K_i(\mathbb{O}_K)$ is f.g. for each i , where \mathbb{O}_K is the ring of integers in a # field.

Borel. For $i \geq 1$, $K_i(\mathbb{O}_K)_\mathbb{C} \cong \begin{cases} 0 & i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{C}^{\frac{r_1+r_2}{2}} & i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 0 & i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{C}^{r_2} & i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$, where r_1 is the number of real embeddings of K , r_2 the number of conjugate pairs of complex embeddings.

Table of $K_i(\mathbb{Z})$.

$$K_0(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z},$$

$$K_1(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

$$K_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

$$K_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/48,$$

$$K_4(\mathbb{Z}) = 0,$$

$$K_5(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z},$$

$$K_6(\mathbb{Z}) = 0,$$

$$K_7(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/240,$$

$$K_8(\mathbb{Z}) = ?$$

Uses Iwasawa theory, motivic cohomology, Quillen-Lichtenberg.

3. Some conjectures.

Conj. $K_i(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

Known only for $i=1!$

Equiv. to Vandiver's conjecture about class numbers of maximal real subfields of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, namely the $p \nmid \# \text{Pic}(\text{Re}(\mathbb{Q}_p))$.

Conj (Bass). IF R is a f.g. ~~regular~~ ^{regular} commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra, then $K_i(R)$ is f.g. for each $i \geq 0$.

Known for $\mathbb{C}_K, \mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{C})$.

Conj (Pardon). IF X/\mathbb{F}_q is smooth and projective, then $K_i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Known for curves.

Any advance would be major.

4. Recent work

$K(\mathbb{Q})$, \mathbb{Q} divisors for ring spectra.

5. Trace methods.

K -theory is hard to compute. As an example, $K_8(\mathbb{Z})$ is unknown. It is a ~~finite~~ finite group with smallest prime factor $> 10^7$. There are three modern tools, all of which assume the K -theory of some simple 'basic' rings.

A. Localization. $Z \subseteq X$ closed, $U = X \setminus Z$ complement.

$$K(X \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K(X) \rightarrow K(U)$$

a fiber sequence, which gives LES on K -groups. Due to Thomason.

B. Motivic cohomology.

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}(-\frac{q}{2})) \Rightarrow K_{-p-q}(X)$$

X smooth over \mathbb{C} -field or dvr. This is useful for smooth schemes, but the motivic cohomology groups $H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}(-\frac{q}{2}))$ are not so easy to compute either, and are related to Chow groups.

C. Trace methods. Another way of approaching K -theory is to find some theory it maps to and compute that.

Thm (Goodwillie). Let $P \rightarrow S$ be a surjection of commutative rings with nilpotent kernel. Then, the fibers of

$$K(P)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow K(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{HC}^-(\text{Res } \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{HC}^-(S \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$

are the same. HC^- is negative cyclic homology.

Thm (Dundas-McCarthy). Same, but relates fibers of $K(P)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow K(S)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ to fiber of $\text{TC}(R, p) \rightarrow \text{TC}(S, p)$ when TC is topological cyclic homology.