

Rational Homotopy Theory - Lecture 18

BENJAMIN ANTIEAU

1. COMMUTATIVE DGAS AS A (CO)SIMPLICIAL MODEL CATEGORY

A simplicial set X is **finite** if it has only finitely many non-degenerate simplices. It is **of finite type** if X_n is finite for all $n \geq 0$. Similarly, we say that a cdga $R \in \text{cdga}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq 0}$ is **of finite type** if $H^n(R)$ is finite-dimensional (over \mathbb{Q}) for all $n \geq 0$.

Exercise 1.1. This one is slightly harder. It is [2, Lemma 5.2]. Show that there is a natural map

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{cdga}}(R, A^*(X) \otimes S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{sSets}}(X, \text{map}_{\text{cdga}}(R, S)),$$

which is moreover an equivalence if either X is finite or S is of finite type.

Proposition 1.2. *The model category cdga satisfies axiom SM7. That is, if $i : V \rightarrow W$ is a cofibration and $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration in cdga , then the natural map $\text{map}(W, X) \rightarrow \text{map}(W, Y) \times_{\text{map}(V, Y)} \text{map}(V, X)$ is a fibration, which is acyclic if either i or p is.*

Proof. This is a proof by smell. Follow your nose from the definitions to the conclusion. \square

Corollary 1.3. (1) *If $R \rightarrow S$ is a cofibration in cdga and T is arbitrary, then $\text{map}_{\text{cdga}}(S, T) \rightarrow \text{map}_{\text{cdga}}(R, T)$ is a Kan fibration, which is a weak equivalence if i is.*
 (2) *If R is cofibrant, then $\text{map}_{\text{cdga}}(R, T)$ is a Kan complex for any T .*
 (3) *If R is cofibrant and $p : T \rightarrow U$ is a fibration, then $\text{map}_{\text{cdga}}(R, T) \rightarrow \text{map}_{\text{cdga}}(R, U)$ is a Kan fibration which is a weak equivalence if p is.*
 (4) *If W is cofibrant and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence, then $f_* : \text{map}(W, X) \rightarrow \text{map}(W, Y)$ is a weak equivalence.*

Proof. Left as an exercise. \square

We can also talk about mapping spaces for augmented cdgas. Given augmented cdgas $X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ and $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, we define $\text{map}_*(X, Y)$ as the fiber of $\text{map}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{map}(X, k)$ over the augmentation of X .

Exercise 1.4. Show that $\text{map}_*(X, Y)_p = \text{Hom}_{\text{cdga}/\mathbb{Q}}(X, \nabla(p, *) \tilde{\otimes} Y)$, where $\nabla(p, *) \tilde{\otimes} Y \subseteq \nabla(p, *) \otimes Y$ is the subalgebra $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus \nabla(p, *) \otimes \bar{X}$.

2. HOMOTOPIES OF MAPS OF CDGAS

We define homotopies of maps of cdgas as right homotopies, and we note that

$$X \xrightarrow{\cong} \nabla(1, *) \otimes X \rightarrow X \times X$$

is a path object for X . The first map is $x \mapsto 1 \otimes x$, while the second sends $f(t) \otimes x$ to $(f(0)x, f(1)x)$ and $g(t)dt \otimes x$ to 0. The second map is clearly surjective by looking at $t \otimes x$ and $(t-1) \otimes x$. So, it is a fibration. It follows that $\nabla(1, *) \otimes X$ is a good path object for X , and it is actually very good because $X \rightarrow \nabla(1, *) \otimes X$ is a cofibration because $\nabla(1, *)$ is cofibrant.

It follows that the huge array of results on right homotopies work very well by using $\nabla(1, *)$. Hence, we say that two maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ of cdgas are homotopic if there is a map $h : X \rightarrow \nabla(1, *) \otimes Y$ such that $\partial_0 \circ h = f$ and $\partial_1 \circ h = g$.

For example, if X is cofibrant, then right homotopy is an equivalence relation on $\text{Hom}_{\text{cdga}}(X, Y)$. Everything works just as nicely for augmented cdgas. Note that by definition of the model category structure on $\text{cdga}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq 0}$, an augmented cdga is cofibrant if and only if the underlying cdga is cofibrant.

Recall that if X is an augmented cdga, then \overline{X} denotes the kernel of $X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. We let $\text{Q}X = \overline{X}/\overline{X} \cdot \overline{X}$, the chain complex of indecomposables in X . The **homotopy groups** of X are

$$\pi^n X = \text{H}^n(\text{Q}X).$$

We put off for some time studying how these behave with respect to homotopies in X . After our long model category theoretic detour, we can finally return to this problem.

3. TWO SPECIAL AUGMENTED CDGAS

We let $U(n)$ be the square-zero extension of \mathbb{Q} by the complex $\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{Q}$ in degrees $n-1$ and n . Hence, $\overline{U}(n) \cdot \overline{U}(n) = 0$. Note that $U(n)$ is a quotient of $D(n)$. Similarly, let $V(n)$ be the square-zero extension of \mathbb{Q} by \mathbb{Q} in degree n . Again, $V(n)$ is a quotient of $S(n)$. We view these mainly as augmented cdgas.

Proposition 3.1. *If $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are right homotopic in augmented cdgas, then $f_* = g_* : \pi^* X \rightarrow \pi^* Y$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence, and if X and Y are cofibrant, then $f_* : \pi^* X \rightarrow \pi^* Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The second statement follows from the first, bearing in mind that weak equivalences between cofibrant augmented cdgas are homotopy equivalence. The first statement follows by looking at

$$\partial_0, \partial_1 : \text{H}^*(\nabla(1, *) \otimes \text{Q}Y) \rightarrow \text{H}^*(\nabla(0, *) \otimes \text{Q}Y)$$

and

$$\partial_0, \partial_1 : \text{H} * \text{Q}(\nabla(1, *) \tilde{\otimes} Y) \rightarrow \text{H} * \text{Q}(\nabla(0, *) \tilde{\otimes} Y).$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *If X is an augmented cdga, then*

- (1) $\text{Hom}_*(X, U(n)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Q}X^n, \mathbb{Q})$,
- (2) *right homotopy is an equivalence relation on $\text{Hom}_*(X, V(n))$, and*
- (3) $[X, V(n)]_* \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi^n X, \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Note that there is a natural map $\text{Hom}_*(X, U(n)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Q}X^n, \mathbb{Q})$, given by taking $f : X \rightarrow U(n)$ to $\text{Q}^n f : \text{Q}^n X \rightarrow \text{Q}^n U(n) \cong \mathbb{Q}$. It is easy to see that this map is surjective, and injectivity is even easier. This proves (1).

We'll see the rest of the proof next time. □

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Adámek and J. Rosický, *Locally presentable and accessible categories*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 189, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [2] A. K. Bousfield and V. K. A. M. Gugenheim, *On PL de Rham theory and rational homotopy type*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1976), no. 179, ix+94.
- [3] W. G. Dwyer and J. Spaliński, *Homotopy theories and model categories*, Handbook of algebraic topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 73–126.
- [4] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [5] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 174, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [6] P. Goerss and K. Schemmerhorn, *Model categories and simplicial methods*, Interactions between homotopy theory and algebra, Contemp. Math., vol. 436, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 3–49.
- [7] D. G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.
- [8] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.