

Rational Homotopy Theory - Lecture 5

BENJAMIN ANTIEAU

1. MORE ABOUT MINIMAL CDGAS

Let A be strictly connected. Recall that $QA = A^+/(A^+ \cdot A^+)$ is the cochain complex of indecomposables in A , where A^+ is the kernel of the augmentation $\epsilon : A \rightarrow k$. The cohomology of QA is denoted $\pi(A)$, or $\pi^n(A) = H^n(QA)$ for $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 1.1. *If A is a minimal k -cdga, then $d(A^+) \subseteq (A^+ \cdot A^+)$. Hence, $Q^n A \cong \pi^n A$ for all n .*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $Q^i X(n) = 0$ for $i > n \geq 1$. Now, $Q^i X(n, 0) = X(n-1) = 0$ for $i > n$ by definition, since the generators of $X(n-1)$ have degree at most n . If $Q^i X(n, q-1) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and some $q \geq 1$, then $d(x) \in (X(n, q-1)^+ \cdot X(n, q-1)^+)$ for $x \in X(n, q)$. Hence, by induction and minimality, $Q^i X(n) = 0$ for $i > n$. \square

We will say that the differential is **decomposable** when $d(A^+) \subseteq A^+ \cdot A^+$. The converse of the last lemma is nearly true. We just need to add the condition that A be 1-connected.

Lemma 1.2. *Suppose that A is a strictly 1-connected k -cdga such that A is free as a graded-commutative k -algebra and $d(A^+) \subseteq (A^+ \cdot A^+)$. Then, A is minimal.*

Proof. The hypothesis in fact implies that $X(n, 1) = X(n)$ for $n \geq 1$. This is trivial for $n = 1$ as $X(1) = X(0) = k$. For $n \geq 2$, the fact that the differential is decomposable means that for degree reasons, if $x \in A^n$, then $d(x)$ is a sum of products of degrees in the range $[2, \dots, n-1]$. \square

Example 1.3. Note that the k -cdga $A = \Lambda_1(x, y) \otimes_d \Lambda_1(z)$ with $d(z) = xy$ from last time has a decomposable differential and is connected. However, it is not 1-connected, so the lemma does not apply to A . We saw last time that A is not minimal.

2. WHY DO WE LIKE CHARACTERISTIC ZERO?

Let S^n be a sphere with $n > 0$ odd. Let's try to build a small cdga which is free as a graded-commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra with cohomology isomorphic to $H^*(S^n, \mathbb{Z})$. Here by small I mean minimal, which definition makes just as much sense over a commutative ring R as it does over a field.

Remark 2.1. It turns out that it is impossible to find such an A such that there is a quasi-isomorphism $A \simeq C^*(S^n, \mathbb{Z})$. But, this is not so important for us below.

The free graded-commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra on an element in degree n is $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(2x^2)$. Call this \mathbb{Z} -cdga $A(n)$. (In the lecture there was a long digression on adjoint functors and the notion of a free object. See any book on category theory for details.) In particular, the elements x^m are non-zero for all $n \geq 0$, but $2x^m = 0$ for $m \geq 2$. This is going to force us to introduce infinitely many generators to even build the algebra in some small way. Now, let's introduce a new element z in degree $2n-1$ such that $d(z) = x^2$ and call the resulting cdga $A(2n-1)$. The new underlying graded-commutative algebra is $\mathbb{Z}\langle x, y \rangle / (2x^2, 2y^2, xy + yx)$. The Leibniz rule says that $d(x^n z) = x^{n+1}$. However, $d(2z) = 0$. So, we have killed a lot of the 'bad' cohomology of $A(n)$, but we've introduced new cohomology in degree $2n-1$. So, we will have to adjoin an element to kill this and so on.

Exercise 2.2. Check that the process described above does not terminate.

In other words, one cannot build *in finitely many steps* a strictly connected \mathbb{Z} -cdga A that is graded-free as an algebra and has the cohomology of the n -sphere for n odd.

Over \mathbb{Q} we could find such an algebra on one generator, namely $\Lambda_n^{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Avramov and S. Halperin, *On the nonvanishing of cotangent cohomology*, Comment. Math. Helv. **62** (1987), no. 2, 169–184.
- [2] V. Bangert, *On the existence of closed geodesics on two-spheres*, Internat. J. Math. **4** (1993), no. 1, 1–10.
- [3] A. K. Bousfield and V. K. A. M. Gugenheim, *On PL de Rham theory and rational homotopy type*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1976), no. 179, ix+94.
- [4] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan, and D. Sullivan, *Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **29** (1975), no. 3, 245–274.
- [5] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [6] Y. Félix and J.-C. Thomas, *The radius of convergence of Poincaré series of loop spaces*, Invent. Math. **68** (1982), no. 2, 257–274.
- [7] D. Gromoll and W. Meyer, *Periodic geodesics on compact riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **3** (1969), 493–510.
- [8] D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969), 205–295.
- [9] M. Vigué-Poirrier and D. Sullivan, *The homology theory of the closed geodesic problem*, J. Differential Geometry **11** (1976), no. 4, 633–644.
- [10] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.